STATE OF CALIFORNIA Capital Outlay Budget Change Proposal (COBCP) - Cover Sheet DF-151 (REV 06/17)

<u> </u>						
Fiscal Year	Business Unit	Department		Priority No.		
2019	3540	Department of Forestry and Fire Protection		MA2		
1		Capital Outlay Progra	am ID	Capital Outlay Project II	· ·	
3540-014-COBCP-2019-GB 3540-301-000		3540-301-0001		projects leave blank) 00032	212	
Project Title			Project Status and Type			
Ishi Conservation	Kitchen	Status: New Continuing				
			Type:	Major Minor		
Project Categor	Project Category (Select one)					
CRI (Critical Infrastructure) WSD (Workload Space Deficiencies) ECP (Enrollment Caseload Population) SM (Seismic)						
Total Request (in thousands)	Phase(s) to be Funded		Estimated Total Project Cost (in thousands)		
\$5,380		Construction		\$6,146		
	Budget Request Summary					
				ts \$5,380,000 General Fu		
		mp located in Tehama C		y that was destroyed by fi	re at the existing	
		,				
Requires Legis	ation Code	Section(s) to be Added/A	Amended/	Penealed	CCCI	
☐ Yes	⊠ No	occion(s) to be Added/A	Amenaea/	Repealed	6596	
	sional Language	Budget Package Stat	119			
Yes	⊠ No		Not Need	led		
Impact on Support Budget						
Future Savings	One-Time Costs ☐ Yes ☐ No Future Costs ☐ Yes ☐ No Future Savings ☐ Yes ☐ No					
Tatale Savings Tes M 140 Mevellue Tes M 140						
If proposal affects another department, does other department concur with proposal? 🛮 🖂 Yes 🔻 🗀 No						
Attach comments of affected department, signed and dated by the department director or designee.						
Prepared By		Date	Reviewe	ed By	Date	
Steven Reader		8/1/2018	Mike Du	ıggan		
Donortmont Dir	ootor	Data	Aganau	Coaratam	Dete	
Department Dir	ector	Date	Agency Secretary Date		Date	
5-3879						
		Department of Fi	nance Us	se Only		
Principal Progra	am Budget Analyst		Date submitted to the Legislature			
Origi		Priginal Signed By	:	JAN 1 0 2019		
		Andrea Scharffer				

A. Purpose of the Project:

Background/History:

Ishi Conservation Camp (Camp) is a year-round 110-person camp located on 80-acres of state-owned land in eastern Tehama County. Constructed in 1959, the Camp operates 24 hours a day, 365 days a year. The Camp provides Fire Crews for direct protection of over 850,000 acres of CAL FIRE State Responsible Areas (SRA).

Problem:

The kitchen/dining structure at the Camp was destroyed by fire on July 20, 2017. The structure was deemed a complete loss by CAL FIRE engineers and demolished on August 9, 2017.

The Camp has been using a mobile kitchen unit (MKU), with initial daily operating costs of \$16,000. Operating costs were later reduced to approximately \$2,000 per month as existing structures were modified, alleviating the need for rental tents and mobile scullery equipment. Currently, the Camp is unable to stage fire crews or support other incidents because the kitchen has been destroyed.

B. Relationship to the Strategic Plan:

This project relates to the following goals in the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection 2012 Strategic Plan:

Goal: Seek to improve operational efficiency and effectiveness by shaping, enhancing and adapting to changing circumstances.

Objective: Develop and implement a strategy to reduce CAL FIRE's \$2.4 billion Capital Outlay replacement backlog of facilities that have an average age in excess of 45 years by 40% by 2022.

C. Alternatives:

Design and construct
 new kitchen/dining
 facility at Ishi
 Conservation Camp

The replacement of the kitchen/dining facility will return the Camp back to normal operations and reduce the cost of the use of temporary facilities. Replacement of the entire facility is proposed in FY 21-22 and this component would be performed now and taken out of the larger Capital Outlay project.

2. Continue the use of the MKU and temporary measures to keep the Camp operational

The MKU is a temporary measure and is not designed to function as a permanent replacement. It will require more maintenance and component replacement to provide continuous operation. Therefore, multiple components or appliances will need to be provided or replaced over time. Mulit-year operations in this manner would exceed the cost of a permanent replacement. The MKU is designed to operate continuously for roughly 30-60 days before being cycled out for maintenance.

3. Shut down Ishi
Conservation Camp
entirely.

This alternative will relocate 110 inmates to other facilities or back to prison. The State will lose five fire crews for direct response and protection to 850,000 acres of State Responsibility Area. The Tehama-Glenn Unit will lose up to 14,000 person days of fire control operations and

environmental conservation/dead tree mortality conservation work. Additionally, the Camp recently completed major infrastructure improvements to water and wastewater systems.

D. Recommended Solution:

1. Which alternative and why?

The recommended solution is Alternative 1: design and construct a new kitchen/dining facility at the Ishi Conservation Camp, because the original structure was destroyed in a fire. The Camp cannot operate at its full potential with a temporary kitchen structure, as it will not be able to stage fire crews in large incidents

2. Detail scope description.

This project will construct a kitchen/dining facility at the Ishi Conservation Camp that complies with current building codes and meets the CAL FIRE and Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) needs. The project will make related improvements for a new structure including:

- An approximately 5,000 square foot kitchen/dining structure, including janitorial storage/utility room, inmate restroom, staff restroom, visitor restrooms, and electrical and mechanical ancillary rooms.
- Site development.
- Utility work.
- 3. COBCP Abstract: Ishi Conservation Camp Replace Kitchen—\$5,380,000 for the construction phase. The project includes replacement of the kitchen/dining facility that was destroyed by fire at the Ishi Conservation Camp. Total project costs are estimated at \$6,146,000, including preliminary plans (\$383,000), working drawings (\$383,000) and construction (\$5,380,000). The construction phase amount includes \$4,256,000 for the construction contract, \$213,000 for contingency, \$383,000 for architectural and engineering services, \$20,000 for agency retained items, and \$508,000 for other project costs. The current project schedule estimates preliminary plans to begin in July 2018 and be completed in October 2019. The current project schedule estimates working drawings to begin in October 2019 and be completed in May 2020. The current project schedule estimates construction to begin in May 2020 and be completed in November 2021.
- 4. Basis for cost information.

Costs are based on the actual costs of other projects with similar scope, including the CDCR Arnold Kitchen Project in Susanville.

- 5. Factors/benefits for recommended solution other than the least expensive alternative. Failure to implement the facility improvements outlined in this submittal will impact the operation of this mission-critical facility.
- 6. Complete description of impact on support budget.

 The completion and use of a permanent kitchen dining facility will lower support costs to operate and maintain a temporary mobile kitchen unit.
- Identify and explain any project risks.
 There are known archaeological sites within the immediate area but an archeological review was completed as part of the CEQA study.

8. List requested interdepartmental coordination and/or special project approval. The notice of exemption was filed on compliance was filed on April 9th 2018 pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Plans for the new facility will be subject to review and approval by the State Fire Marshal, and Access (ADA) and Essential Services compliance by the Division of the State Architect.

E. Consistency with Government Code Section 65041.1:

- 1. Does the recommended solution (project) promote infill development by rehabilitating existing infrastructure and how?
 - Yes. The recommended solution promotes infill development by utilizing the same footprint area as the previous kitchen/dining facility.
- 2. Does the project improve the protection of environmental and agricultural resources by protecting and preserving the state's most valuable natural resources? Yes. The site selection process includes environmental considerations. During the acquisition phase of the project, the Department completes an intensive environmental review of the project pursuant to CEQA. This process could include changes to the project to avoid impacts and/or incorporating mitigation measures to eliminate or reduce the severity of environmental impacts.
- 3. Does the project encourage efficient development patterns by ensuring that infrastructure associated with development, other than infill, support efficient use of land and is appropriately planned for growth? Yes. The replacement facility will use the same utilizes and infrastructure as the previous kitchen. CAL FIRE facilities are strategically located to meet its mission. To the fullest extent possible, CAL FIRE prefers to be located near existing roads, water, sewer, and other utilities to promote efficient development in the area and mitigate future support costs for facility maintenance.

F. Attachments:

- 1. Project Cost Estimate (1 Page Estimate)
- 2. Fiscal Impact Worksheet

STATE OF CALIFORN	OD)				5 5	Budget Year : 2	2019-20	
CAPITAL OUTLAY BU	CP)				Project Status	Continuing		
FISCAL IMPACT WOR	MOREET (FIW)							
Department Title:	Department of Forestry and Fire Pr	otection						
Project ID:								
Budget Request (BR)	3540-014-COBCP-2019-GB			- 171	***			
Name: Project Category:								
r roject Category.	Fire Life Safety							
		Existing	Governor's					
		Authority	Budget	April Revision	May Revision	Other	Future Funding	Project Total
	FUNDING							
Appropriation	Phase							
								0
3540-301-0001-18-18	Preliminary Plans	383				-		383
3540-301-0001-18-18	Working Drawings	383						383
3540-301-0001-19-19	Construction		5,380					5,380
								0
								0
								0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							!	0
		·						0
-						·		0
		-						
								0
								0
								0
								0
ТС	OTAL FUNDING	766	5,380	0) () 0	6,146
					*			
PF	ROJECT COSTS							
Study								0
Acquisition		1						0
Preliminary Plans/Perfo	ormance Criteria	383						383
Working Drawings		383						383
Construction/Design-Bu	uild		5,380					5,380
Contract			4,256					4,256
Contingency		<u> </u>	213					213
A&E		ļ	383					383
Agency Retained			20					20
Other/Equipment			508					508
1	TOTAL COSTS	766	5,380	0		0 () 0	6,146
	DDA IFOT OCCUPANT							
	PROJECT SCHEDULE				PROJECT SE	PECIFIC CODES		
Study Completion	mm/dd/yyyy		Project Manager	nent DGS		Location Joh	i Consoniation C	
Approve Acquisition	7/1/2018		Budget Pack		ded		i Conservation Ca ynes Creek	антр
Start Preliminary Plans			Project 1				nama County	
Approve Preliminary Pl			0,000				a Journey	
Start Performance Crite								
Approve Performance								
Approve Proceed to Bi								
Approve Contract Awar								
Project Completion	11/1/2021							

STATE OF CALIFORN	IIA		Budget Year : 2019-20
	IDGET CHANGE PROPOSAL (COBCP)	Project Status	
FISCAL IMPACT WOF		,	
Department Title:	Department of Forestry and Fire Protection		
Project ID:	0003212		
Budget Request (BR) Name:	3540-014-COBCP-2019-GB		
Project Category:	Fire Life Safety		
ldentify all items which for which you plan to re	fit into the categories listed below. Attach a detailed list if funding is incl equest funding in the future. When possible, identify funding needs by fis	uded in this request. Provide descriptions and scal year (BY+1 through BY+4).	summary estimates for items
	PROJECT RELATED COSTS	COST	TOTAL
AGENCY RETAINED:			
Acquisition	<u> </u>		
Preliminary Plans		· — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Working Drawings			
Construction			20
	· — — · · · · — — · · · · — · — · — · —	··	
			_
		TOTAL AGENCY RETAINED	20
GROUP 2 EQUIPMEN	Т		
		· - ·- · · - · - · - · - ·	
	 	· — · — · — · — · — · — · — ·	
		·	
		TOTAL GROUP2 EQUIPMENT	0
	IMPACT ON SUPPORT BUDGET	COST	TOTAL
ANNUAL ONGOING F	UTURE COSTS		
	· · · ·		
		TOTAL SUPPORT ANNUAL COSTS	0
ANNUAL ONGOING F	UTURE SAVINGS		
			===
	···		
		TOTAL CURRENT AND UNITED CAN TOTAL	-
ANDULAL ONCOURS	LITLING DO CALLE	TOTAL SUPPORT ANNUAL SAVINGS	0
ANNUAL ONGOING F	UTUKE KEVENUE		
		<u> </u>	
	<u> </u>		
	···	TOTAL SUPPORT ANNUAL DEVENUE	-

		Budget Year: 2018-19		
CICCAL IMPACT MOD	CAPITAL OUTLAY BUDGET CHANGE PROPOSAL (COBCP) Project St			
FISCAL IMPACT WOR	KSHEET (FIW)			
Danadasaa Titla	Department of Forests, and Fire Protestics			
Department Title: Project ID:	Department of Forestry and Fire Protection 0003212			
Budget Request (BR)				
Name:	3540-014-COBCP-2019-GB			
Project Category:	Fire Life Safety			
Project Specific Propo language below.	sals: For new projects provide proposed Scope language. For continuing projects provide the latest approved Scope	language. Enter Scope		
	: Provide a brief discussion of proposal defining assumptions supporting the level of funding proposed by fiscal year in ear. (Also include scope descriptions for BY+1 through BY+4 below).	n relation to outstanding need		
An approximately 5,00 mechanical ancillary roc Site development. Utility work.	itation (CDCR) needs. The project will make related improvements for a new structure including: 0 square foot kitchen/dining structure, including janitorial storage/utility room, inmate restroom, staff restroom, visitor rems.	estrooms, and electrical and		